

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,337	10/28/2003	Wade Spicer	03140	8092
75	90 08/10/2005		EXAM	INER
Thomas R. Sh	affer		LE, HU	YEN D
5 East Third Str	eet			
PO Box 509			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Coudersport, PA 16915			3751	•
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/10/2009	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ω
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/696,337	SPICER, WADE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Huyen Le	3751
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/10</u>	<u>//2005</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the ments is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•	•
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10 and 12-15</u> is/are pending in	the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	·
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the l	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	=	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		on No
2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		
application from the International Burea	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.
	and the second september 100 to 100 t	

Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
~	Listen of a Direction of the Action of Tolon

Information Disclosure	Statement(s)	(PTO-1449	or PTO/SB	(80%
Paper No(s)/Mail Date				

4) 🗀	Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

		•		
F 1 1 1 1		- C 1 O - 1		ation (PTO-152)
או ווכ	otice of II	ntormai Pai	tent Abblic	ation (PTO-152)
~/ Lv.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,

6)		Other:
----	--	--------

Application/Control Number: 10/696,337 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 05/10/2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "with said groove having a depth which is not greater than 1/5 of a distance between said groove top and said bottom surface".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 8-10, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 8-10, 12-15 include "said groove having a depth which is not greater than 1/5 of a distance between said groove top and said bottom surface" which is new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/696,337

Art Unit: 3751

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1- 4, 8-10, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaanan et al (6,421,846).

The Shaanan et al reference discloses a base structure for an above ground swimming pool comprising an arcuate bottom track member 104 (Fig. 8), the bottom track member 104 having a bottom surface, a top surface 103a, an inner wall portion, an outer wall portion and a pair of end portions, the inner wall portion having a groove 103 formed therein, the groove 103 having a front inner lip constituting a groove top and a groove bottom which is located in a plane which is "substantially elevated" from the bottom surface, the groove 103 adapted to receive a bottom end of a side wall panel 18 of a swimming pool in a manner whereby the bottom end of the side wall panel 18 will be substantially elevated above a supporting surface upon which the bottom surface of the track member 104 is placed.

Although the Shaanan et al reference does not specifically discloses the dimension of the track member and the distance between the bottom of the groove and the bottom surface of the track member being at least two inches and the depth of the groove not greater 1/5 of a distance between the groove top and bottom surface, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select certain dimensions such as height, depth and length for the track member and the groove to best fit the base structure for a swimming in order to optimize its performance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a

Art Unit: 3751

result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claim 8, the base structure comprises a plurality of spaced apart base member 92.

Regarding claim 12, the base members support vertical column 14 of the swimming pool structure.

Regarding claim 13, the bottom track member 104 and the base members 92 removably interlock with one another.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaanan et al (6,421,846).

The Shaanan et al reference discloses a base structure for an above ground swimming pool comprising a bottom track member 104 having an inner surface, the inner surface having a lower portion located below the groove 103 and an upper portion located above the groove where in the upper portion is generally vertical and the lower portion slopes outwardly.

Although the Shaanan et al reference does not specifically discloses what sloping angle the lower portion is, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a certain dimension for the sloping angle of the lower portion of the inner surface of the bottom track member to best fit the base structure for a swimming in order to optimize its performance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,337 Page 5

Art Unit: 3751

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 05/10/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regard to the applicant's arguments with respect to Shaanan et al that the groove 103 is in top track and is not in the inner wall portion as claimed, examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's assertion. Attention is directed to Figure 8 of Shaanan et al which clearly shows a bottom track member 104 having a top surface 103a (where numeral 103a points at), a front surface below the top surface 103 (extending to the bottom of the track member 104) constituting an inner wall and the groove 103 formed therein.

In regard to the applicant's arguments that the bottom of the groove of Shaanan et al does not "substantially elevate" above the bottom surface of track member as claimed, examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant. Figure 8 of Shaanan et al shows that the groove 103 "substantially elevates" above the bottom surface of the track 104. Elevating the groove and making the groove with any desired dimensions for a track member would be obvious to one of ordinary skill.

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 7-10, 12-15 have been considered but also are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3751

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen Le whose telephone number is 571-272-4890. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,337 Page 7

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HL August 4, 2005

JUSTINE R. YU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700